

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Earnest Edward Vaughn, Sr., a/k/a/)	Civil Action No. 8:07-1386-TLW-BHH
Earnest E. Vaughn, a/k/a Ernest E. Vaughn, Sr.,)	
a/k/a Earnest Edward Vaughn,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER
 -vs-)
Timothy Campbell, Patrolman,)	
Lauren's City, Police Department; and)	
Laurens City Police Department,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

This action has been filed by the plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se*. This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Bruce H. Hendricks, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In her Report, Magistrate Judge Hendricks recommends that the defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted. The Report was filed on December 13, 2007. (Doc. # 29). Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on December 18, 2007. (Doc. # 30).

In conducting this review, the Court applies the following standard:

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to the Court, to which any party may file written objections. . . . The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination. The Court is required to make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which no objections are addressed. While the level of scrutiny entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations.

Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia, 791 F.Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992)

(citations omitted).

In light of this standard, the Court has reviewed, de novo, the Report and the objections thereto. The Court accepts the Report.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 29), plaintiff's objections are **OVERRULED** (Doc. # 30); and defendants' motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED** (Doc. # 17).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

February 26, 2008
Florence, South Carolina